

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DATAPLEX, INC.,

No. C 06-6491 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

ALLIANCE SEMICONDUCTOR, CORPORATION,
ET AL.,

ORDER DENYING
WITHOUT PREJUDICE
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
TO CONSIDER WHETHER
CASES SHOULD BE
RELATED

Defendants.

AUTOTIME CORP., on behalf of itself
and all others similarly situated

No. C 06-7851 RS

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.;
SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.; MICRON
TECHNOLOGY, INC.; MICRON
SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC.,

Defendants.

The Court has before it Plaintiff Autotime Corporation's
motion to consider whether its case should be related to Dataplex,
Inc. v. Alliance Semiconductor Corp., et al., No. 06-6491,
presently before this court, and several other cases in which the

1 parties have filed a joint administrative motion to consider
2 whether the cases should be related. As noted in its order denying
3 without prejudice the earlier administrative motion, the Court has
4 also received a notice from the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict
5 Litigation that a motion to transfer has been filed pursuant to
6 28 U.S.C. § 1407 (MDL No. 1819, In re Static Random Access Memory
7 (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation). Because resolution of the motion to
8 transfer may moot the motion before this Court, the Court DENIES
9 the motion to consider relating without prejudice to renewal
10 following the resolution of the proceedings before the Judicial
11 Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

12

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 1/18/07

15 Dated: _____



16 CLAUDIA WILKEN
17 United States District Judge

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28